

1968 review — recommendations

1. Organization and staffing to provide continuous, systematic attention to protection and security matters.
2. Staffing to provide needed Legal Counsel.
3. Statewide unification of protection and security services.
4. Clarification of the relationship between campus administrators and protection and security.
5. Formulation of enforcement policies.
6. Clarification of legal provisions.

a. The awkward manner in which the statutes establish the powers of University police creates a number of ambiguities that should be eliminated. There should, in the first instance, be a forthright legislative grant of police powers to University police officers comparable to those granted to municipal police personnel - augmented, of course, by the provision that University police have the authority to enforce Regent rules as well. Aside from serving to "clean up" the current provisions, such a revision should be drafted so as to remedy four specific problems:

- i. The authority of a University officer should extend to all lands and property to which the University holds title or which it occupies on a rental basis (eliminating ambiguities as to what constitutes the campus and whether or not University police have authority in rented quarters).
- ii. University officer should be authorized, when in fresh pursuit, to follow an individual into adjacent off-campus properties in order to effect an arrest for violating the state law or the rules and regulations of the Board of Regents (eliminating numerous questions that have been raised as to the authority of University police to effect an arrest off campus for a crime committed on campus).
- iii. University officer should be recognized as a regular law enforcement officer of the state (eliminating questions as to whether statutes applicable to police officers generally, concerning such matters as pensions, assaults upon officers, and the authority to order a crowd to disperse, apply with equal force to University police personnel).
- iv.d. The authority of the University police to accept bail should be specifically acknowledged.:

b. In addition to clarifying these matters relating to the authority of police personnel, consideration should be given to providing security officers with somewhat the same authority granted to security officers assigned to state office buildings. This would make it possible to realize much greater flexibility in the assignment of security officers, thereby making it possible to relieve police officers for more important assignments.

7. Increasing the number of police officers.
8. Improving the caliber of University Police (training)
9. Improving relationships with the local police agency
10. Providing for a reserve of police personnel